

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

GARY R. WALL,
Plaintiff

CASE NO: 3:09cv1066(DJS)

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
U. S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE OF NEW HAVEN,
DISTRICT JUDGE JANET C. HALL,
UNKNOWN DISTRICT LAW CLERKS IN THE
MEANING OF BIVENS,
CIRCUIT JUDGE SONIA SOTOMAYOR,
UNKNOWN LAW CLERKS IN THE MEANING
OF BIVENS (3) 42 U.S.C. DEFENDANTS
28 U.S.C. 1361 "ACTION IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS

CONGRESSMAN JOHN LARSON (1)
"FEDERAL QUESTION" 28 U.S.C. 1331 DEFENDANT
Defendants

SERVICE UPDATE

- 1.) ATTORNEY GENERAL SERVICE COMPLIANCE F.R.C.P. 4(i)(1)**
- 2.) DUE PROCESS RETURN OF FILINGS DEFENDANT SOTOMAYOR**
- 3.) COPY REQUEST FOR 28 U.S.C. 1404(a) TRANSFER DEFENDANT
HALL**

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

GARY R. WALL 5TH AMEND. PLAINTIFF
60 Carriage Hill Drive
Wethersfield, CT. 06109
860-529-2651

September 8, 2009

SERVICE UPDATE

Enclosed are (3) communications regarding service and status updates.

#1 is a copy of a certified letter to the Attorney General of the United States (Mr. Eric Holder) in compliance with F.R.C.P. 4(i)(1).

#2 is a copy of a letter to Honorable John Roberts in his capacity of Chief of the Judicial Conference with copies to the 28 U.S.C. 351 Conduct Committee concerning defendant Sotomayor's return of filings.

DISCOVERY STATUS

#3 is a copy of pages one and two of a Transfer Motion 28 U.S.C. 1404(a) filed September 4, 2009 to defendant Hall (3:09cv344(JCH) Discovery related F.O.I.A. 5 U.S.C. 552 case).

Service and Status Update is being filed in accordance with the Rules and to protect against further harm to Due Process Rights.

Submitted By:

Gary R. Wall, 5th Amendment Due Process Petitioner
60 Carriage Hill Drive
Wethersfield, Connecticut 06109
(860) 529-2651

August 31, 2009

Honorable Eric Holder
Attorney General of the United States
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue N. W. Room 4400
Washington, D. C. 20530-0001

RE: Wall v. Department of Justice Case No. 3:09CV1066(DJS)

Dear Honorable Attorney General Holder:

The enclosed Court filings are being sent to you in compliance with F.R.C.P. 4(2)(B) and 4(i)(1).

Rule 4(2)(B) “Service on an officer or employee of the United States sued in an individual capacity for acts or omissions occurring in connection with the performance of duties on behalf of the United States – whether or not the officer or employee is sued also in an official capacity – is effected by serving the United States in the manner prescribed by Rule 4(i)(1) and by serving the officer or employee in the manner prescribed by Rule 4(e)(f) or (g).

Rule 4(i)(1) “by also sending a copy of the summons and of the complaint by registered or certified mail to the Attorney General of the United States at Washington, District of Columbia.

Enclosed are copies of (4) summons returned filed in Wall v. D.O.J. 3:09cv1066(DJS) on August 14, 2009. Also enclosed is a copy of the complaint. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully Submitted By:

Gary R. Wall, Civil Rights Plaintiff
60 Carriage Hill Drive
Wethersfield, CT. 06109
860-529-2651

SENT NEXT DAY CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

7009 1680 0002 1418 5545

USPS Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com
WASHINGTON DC 20530

Postage	\$ 1.56
Certified Fee	2.80
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	2.30
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	0.00
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 6.66

0167
21
Postmark Here
08/31/2009

Sent To: *Director of H.J. DOJ*
 Street, Apt. No. or PO Box No.: *50 Pennsylvania Ave. N 4400*
 City, State, ZIP+4: *W Washington D.C 20530-0000*

September 4, 2009

Honorable Chief Justice of the United States
The Honorable John Roberts Capacity Chief
Judicial Conference
United States Supreme Court
First Street N. E.
Washington, D. C. 20548

RE: JUDGE SOTOMAYOR

Dear Honorable Chief of the Judicial Conference and Members of the 28 U.S.C. 351
Conduct Committee

This letter is one of multiple letters I sent to Your Honor in Your Honor's capacity as Chief of the Judicial Conference. For convenience, you may view some of the letters on the following web site www.unitedstatesproselaw.com. This citizen created the pro se web site in order to try to over come a "D.O.J./Judicial Press Shield".

By letter dated January 7, 2008, I informed Your Honor's Conduct Committee of Judge Sotomayor's involvement in corrupt schemes to obstruct pleadings that exposed or involved the D.O.J.'s corrupt influence on the Judicial Branch, quote excerpt January 7, 2008:

"Multiple complaints and pleadings in the Second Circuit obstructed by judicial fraud have exposed at an 11(b)(3) Level the corrupt use of law clerks, who insidiously through other judges on a panel, falsely brief unknowing Federal Judges. On this panel experience teaches the most likely corruption scenario is Circuit Judge Sotomayor, who is interchangeably used in an insidious manner by Judge Jacobs and Walker is most likely using shared law clerks or a shared law clerk to obstruct Pro se filings that expose the D.O.J. LIUNA "Operating Agreement" as an 18 USC 371(a)(b) conspiracy to defraud the Lawful Functions of the United States. The other two judges are most likely being falsely briefed by the shared law clerk(s) (supported by evidence in related filings)."

Your Honor's Conduct Committee did not do an investigation under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. 351 as I requested showing documented evidence in support for an investigation. Now by reason of no investigation, Judge Sotomayor sits on the Supreme Court. Judge Sotomayor is a defendant in a Due Process Bivens Complaint [3:09cv1066\(DJS\)](#) Hartford, Connecticut (see complaint on pro se web site).

This letter has to do with Due Process obstruction by Judge Sotomayor using her position on the Supreme Court to obstruct the Due Process Rights of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure including Rule 4.

EXPLANATION:

On July 6, 2009, this petitioner filed a motion in response to the United States Rule 12 Motion. The United States filed an appearance for the United States in 3:09cv1066(DJS). There has been no appearance filed for Judge Sotomayor as of this writing.

On August 14, 2009, this petitioner sent to Chambers, United States Supreme Court Judge Sotomayor a copy of my response. I received back the copy I sent to the Supreme Court stating "return to sender" enclosed is the unopened envelope. I have also enclosed a copy of the Return of Service on Judge Sotomayor served at the Second Circuit.

The United States sent a certified copy of its Rule 12 Motion to the Second Circuit Chambers of Judge Sotomayor her legal address, at the time. My request of Your Honor is that Your Honor inform Judge Sotomayor to cease obstructing the Due Process Rights of Federal Rules of Procedure of this petitioner and take F.R.C.P. service or get an attorney and I will Notice filings to him or to her.

Since July 2005, I have followed the instructions of the Conduct Committee, the Judicial Counsel and Your Honor's Judicial Conference. This petitioner Civil Rights and Liberties have been greatly harmed by Sub Rosa group of Federal Judges, which Judge Sotomayor was a key corruption coordinator.

In the interest of assessing Justice, Judge Sotomayor can not use her political appointment to the Supreme Court to hide from her crimes committed as a Circuit Judge. Please stop the pattern of Due Process obstruction. A copy of this letter has been sent to Justice Breyer and the 28 U.S.C. 351 Conduct Committee Members.

Respectfully Submitted By:

Gary R. Wall, Due Process Petitioner
60 Carriage Hill Drive
Wethersfield, Connecticut 06109
(860) 529-2651

COPIES TO:

CHAMBERS

The Honorable Stephen G. Breyer
Capacity Judicial Conference 28 USC 351 Conduct Committee
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
First Street, N. E.
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS, Honorable Sarah Evans Barker
U. S. Courthouse
46 East Ohio Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

CHAMBERS, Honorable Sally M. Rider
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
First Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS, Honorable J. Harvie Wilkinson
UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
1100 East Main Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219

CHAMBERS, Honorable Pasco M. Bowman
UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
111 South 10th Street
St. Louis, Missouri 63102

CHAMBERS, Honorable D. Brook Hornby
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTHOUSE
73 Hammond Street
Bangor, Maine 04401

TRANSFER REQUEST

On March 3, 2009 six months ago, this petitioner filed this instant 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(B) Fee Waiver Complaint in the Hartford division [proper venue 1404(a) Applicable]. Said complaint was assigned to the Bridgeport division and to Your Honor. Your Honor is a defendant in 3:09cv1066(DJS) and the material requested in the 5 U.S.C. 552 Fee Waiver will expose that Your Honor facilitated [Material Jury Testimony Perjury] committed by Robert D. Luskin in his capacity of 18 U.S.C. 1961(7) in collusion with the [Material Jury Testimony Perjury] of Dominick Lopreato a convicted pension thief. Your Honor went forward with the fact fraud bifurcated trial over this plaintiffs many objections. It was ridiculous for Your Honor to go forward with a trial after this plaintiff filed in 2003 a 28 U. S.C. 351 Complaint against Your Honor; 03-8535. In 2005 another 28 U.S.C.351 Complaint 05-8540 against Your Honor; also in 2003 a 28 U.S.C. 455(a) Application for Disqualification of Your Honor was filed with a supporting 28 U.S.C. 144 Federal Affidavit in the Second Circuit. All obstructed in the Second Circuit by Your Honor's co-defendant Judge Sotomayor (inter olios). In the Due Process Complaint against Your Honor it is clear that what Circuit Judge Walker is Sub Rose to Judge Sotomayor, Judge Nevas is Sub Rosa to Your Honor. All participants in collusion with the same objective, that being, to protect the D.O.J.'s corrupt "Operating Agreement" with an International Trade Union [Petitioner Proximate Harm Citizen].

This Fee Waiver for forty two hundred dollars (\$4,200.00) by the Northern District of Illinois concerning this plaintiff in the district of Connecticut should not be in front of Your Honor. One of the intentions of 28 U.S.C. 1404 is that "The plaintiff will not be deprived of substantive law".... (Moore's Federal Rules Pamphlet). In

3:09cv1066, this plaintiff alleges Your Honor committed docket fraud plus fact fraud opinions. Therefore by reason of the above facts Your Honor should transfer this Fee Waiver Complaint to another division in this district. The Fee Waiver itself states on Page 5... "This instant 5 U.S.C. 552(a) action in the interest of Justice and Canon Law should not be filed in the Bridgeport division"---. This Due Process abuse has to cease. Which is the reason Your Honor is one of the defendants in 3:09cv1066(DJS). Please do not hold the Fee Waiver any longer (6 months), and please do not Rule on it in the interest of Justice and transfer the 5 USC 552 Fee Waiver to another division in the district of Connecticut.

Submitted By:

Gary R. Wall, F.O.I.A. 5 U.S.C. 552(a) Petitioner
60 Carriage Hill Drive
Wethersfield, Connecticut 06109
(860) 529-2651

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the Service and Status Update has been mailed
first class postage pre-paid this 8th day of September 2009 to:

Department of Justice
New Haven U. S. Attorneys Office
District of Connecticut
157 Church Street
New Haven, CT. 06510

CHAMBERS
Honorable Judge Janet C. Hall
U. S. Courthouse
915 Lafayette Boulevard
Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604

CHAMBERS
Honorable Sonia Sotomayor
United States Supreme Court
First Street N. E.
Washington, D. C. 20548

Congressman John Larson
221 Main Street
Hartford, CT. 06103

Department of Justice Office of the Solicitor General
c/o Acting Solicitor General Mr. Edwin Kneedler
Robert F. Kennedy Department of Justice Building
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20530-0001

Department of Justice
c/o Attorney General of the United States
The Honorable Eric Holder
950 Pennsylvania Avenue N. W. Room 4400
Washington, D. C. 20530-0001

Submitted By:

Gary R. Wall, Due Process Petitioner